

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/743,925	01/18/2001	Elena Leonidovna Fokina	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8866
;	7590 05/02/2002			
Galina G Chernik			EXAMINER	
Pr Veteranov 3 St Petersburg,	198255		JENKINS, DANIEL J	
RUSSIAN FEDERATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	7
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MK-7				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/743,925	FOKINA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniel J. Jenkins	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refor reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a liply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 25	January 2002 .					
<u>'</u>	·	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	•						
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) $1-11$ is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) (Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5)☐ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Clai	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Clai	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· —	m(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received					
TW	• • •		Application No.				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of D	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/743,925

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 7 and 9, the parenthetical terms should be either deleted or incorporated into the claims as clear limitations. It is unclear if Applicant intends said terms to be considered as limitations or as examples.

In claim 1, it is unclear how the reduction limitation would be applied if the applied particles were metals.

In claim 1, line 3, the term "the particles" lacks antecedent basis. The Examiner recommends in line one to replace "metal coating" with --metal coating formed of particles-.

In claim 2, "the help" lacks antecedent basis. The Examiner recommends --by--.

In claim 5, it is unclear if the compounds are to be treated as individual coatings or as a multi-component coating.

In claim 6, the Examiner recommends replacing "to" with -at-.

In claim 7, when is the treatment applied?

In claim 8, the term "the required degree" is relative and vague. The Examiner recommends deleting claim language after "atmosphere".

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/743,925

Art Unit: 1742

In claim 10, the "metallized abrasive particles" have no antecedent basis. Additionally, when does the sintering step take place, after coating? The Examiner recommends making claim 10 dependent from claim 9 and clarifying that the steps take place to the surface coated materials.

In claim 11, the language is not further limiting to a method claim.

3. The prior art should be submitted on a proper Form-1449 for consideration by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 703-306-4157. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9593 for regular communications and 703-305-7719 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Daniel Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

dj April 8, 2002